

Peer Review Form for the Materials and Methods, and Results:

EEES 6810/8810

combined review is worth 20 points

Reviewer name:

Title of manuscript:

Author(s):

Please indicate your overall assessment by checking the appropriate boxes:

Quality of the presentation

Excellent, no flaws

Good, no major flaws

Competent, but suffering
from serious flaws

Weak, major flaws or
inconsistencies

Adherence to the instructions

Presented to the reviewer
in a timely manner

Appropriate length

Double spaced

Page/Line numbers

Font size (12 minimum)

General comments

Overall impression

Readability

Flow

Excess details omitted

Proper use of references

Proper use of headings

Etc.

Specific comments

Example: P. 2, L. 10. Reference information missing

Questions to address as you write the review:

Coherence (4 points)

Are the methods and results clearly stated and logical?

Is the text organized to clarify the methods and results?

Will a reader be able to follow the author's thinking throughout the text?

Content (4 points)

Is the content easy to follow?

Could you repeat these experiments/procedures?

Clarity of information (4 points)

Has information been presented with the audience (advisor, journal editor) in mind?

Be sure to review assumptions about the reader being familiar (or not) with local issues, policies, or technical language.

Clarity of Data (4 points)

Have the results been presented in an understandable layout without the author's interpretation?

Does the author highlight trends in the results?

Format (4 points)

Does the format of the introduction conform to the guidelines put forth in class (see assignment sheet)?

Is the terminology appropriate?

Have abbreviations or acronyms been explained?

Are grammar, number use, and punctuation correct?