

Peer Review Form for the Introduction: EEES 6810/8810

each review is worth 20 points

Reviewer name:

Title of manuscript:

Author(s):

Please indicate your overall assessment by checking the appropriate boxes:

Quality of the presentation

Excellent, no flaws

Good, no major flaws

Competent, but suffering
from serious flaws

Weak, major flaws or
inconsistencies

Adherence to the instructions

Presented to the reviewer
in a timely manner

Appropriate length

Double spaced

Page/Line numbers

Font size (12 minimum)

General comments

Overall impression

Readability

Flow

Justification of research

Clarity of research question/objective

Specific comments

Example: P. 2, L. 10. Reference information missing

Questions to address as you write the review:

Coherence

Is the research question/objective clearly stated and logical?

Is the text organized to clarify the research question/objective?

Will a reader be able to follow the author's thinking throughout the text?

Content

Does the introduction entice the reader to continue reading the remainder of the paper?

Clarity of information

Has information been presented with the audience (advisor, journal editor) in mind?

Be sure to review assumptions about the reader being familiar (or not) with local issues, policies, or technical language.

Clarity of Data

Has the introduction been presented in an understandable layout with clear supports that justify the performance of the experiments?

Format

Does the format of the introduction conform to the guidelines put forth in class (see assignment sheet)?

Is the terminology appropriate?

Have abbreviations or acronyms been explained?

Are grammar and punctuation correct?